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#### Abstract

We review recent advances and some problems related to our research about bounded derivations on non amenable nuclear Banach algebras.


Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an infinite dimensional complex Banach space. By $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ we will denote the completion of the algebraic tensor product of $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{X}^{*}$ with respect to the projective cross norm $\|\circ\|_{\pi}$. Thus $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ becomes a Banach algebra by means of the product so that $\left(x \otimes x^{*}\right)\left(y \otimes y^{*}\right)=\left\langle y, x^{*}\right\rangle\left(x \otimes y^{*}\right)$ if $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}, x^{*}, y^{*} \in \mathfrak{X}^{*}$. Let $\mathscr{N}_{\mathfrak{X}^{*}}(\mathfrak{X})$ be the subclass of nuclear operators of $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X})$. All $T \in \mathscr{X}_{\mathfrak{X}^{*}}(\mathfrak{X})$ can be writen as $T x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, y_{n}^{*}\right\rangle y_{n}$ if $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, with $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathfrak{X},\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\|\left\|y_{n}^{*}\right\|<\infty$. The infimum of these series taking over all such representations of $T$ furnish a norm $\|T\|_{\mathscr{N}_{\mathfrak{x}^{*}}(\mathfrak{X})}$ for $T$ so that $\left(\mathscr{N}_{\mathfrak{X}^{*}}(\mathfrak{X}),\|\circ\|_{\mathscr{X}_{\mathfrak{X}^{*}}(\mathfrak{X})}\right)$ becomes a Banach algebra.

Amenable Banach algebras were introduced and studied by B. E. Johnson in his definitive monograph [5]. Particularly, the notion of amenability is closely related with questions concerning to bounded derivations on Banach algebras. Briefly, a Banach algebra $\mathscr{U}$ is called amenable if its first Hochschild cohomology group $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{U}, X^{*}\right)$ with coefficients in the dual of any Banach $\mathscr{U}$-bimodule $X$ is trivial. If this is the case any derivation $D: \mathscr{U} \rightarrow$ $X^{*}$ is inner, i.e. there exists $\lambda \in X^{*}$ so that $D(a)=\lambda \cdot a-a \cdot \lambda$ if $a \in \mathscr{U}$. Indeed, $\mathscr{U}$ is called super-amenable when the first cohomology group of $\mathscr{U}$ with coefficients in any Banach $\mathscr{U}$-bimodule is trivial.

Theorem 1. (cf. [8], Th. 4.3.5, p. 98) The following assertions are equivalent

> i $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ is super-amenable.
> ii $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ is amenable.
> iii $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ has a bounded approximate identity.
> iv $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ has a bounded left approximate identity.
> v $\mathscr{X}^{*}(\mathfrak{X})$ has a bounded left approximate identity.
> vi $\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{X})=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{*}\right)<\infty$.

Consequently, the study of bounded derivations on $\mathscr{N}_{\mathfrak{X}^{*}}(\mathfrak{X})$ has its own interest as well as the determination of their structure and properties. Fortunately, there is an isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras between $\mathscr{N}_{\mathfrak{X}^{*}}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ (cf. [8], Th. C.1.5). This fact allowed us to improve previous researches done in the frame of Banach algebras of Hilbert-Schmidt type (cf. [1], [2]). The class of bounded derivations $\mathscr{D}\left(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ is a Banach subspace of $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}\right)$.

[^0]Example 2. Let $v \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}, \Delta_{v}(\alpha)=v \cdot \alpha-\alpha \cdot v, \alpha \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$. Therefore $\Delta_{v} \in \mathscr{D}\left(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}\right)$ is the inner derivation defined by $v$. In general, it is known that every bounded derivation on the uniform Banach algebra of bounded operators $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ is inner (cf. [6]).

Problem 3. What is the precise norm of $\Delta_{v}$ ?- This problem could be hard. For instance, let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Hilbert space, $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X}), \Delta_{T}$ be the inner derivation induced by $T$ on $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X})$. Then J. G. Stampfli showed that $\left\|\Delta_{T}\right\|=2 \operatorname{dist}\left(T, \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ (cf. [11]). B. E. Johnson noted that the above formula is no longer true in the general case. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a uniformly convex Banach space the validity of Stampfli's formula is a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Hilbert space (see [4] and [7]).
Example 4. Given $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ there is a unique $\delta_{T} \in \mathscr{D}\left(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}\right)$ so that

$$
\delta_{T}\left(x \otimes x^{*}\right)=T(x) \otimes x^{*}-x \otimes T^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)
$$

for all basic tensor $x \otimes x^{*} \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$. It is said that $\delta_{T}$ is the $\mathscr{B}$-derivation supported by $T$.
Problem 5. Let $\delta: \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}\left(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}\right), \delta(T)=\delta_{T}$ if $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X})$. Then $\delta$ is a linear bounded operator so that

$$
\delta(S \circ T) \triangleq[\delta(S), \delta(T)]=\delta(S) \circ \delta(T)-\delta(T) \circ \delta(S)
$$

if $S, T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{X})$. It would be relevant to evaluate $\|\delta\|$.
Lemma 6. $\operatorname{ker}(\boldsymbol{\delta})=\mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.
Proof. Let $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ so that $\delta_{T}=0$ and let $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$. If $\lambda$ belongs to the compression spectrum of $T$ let $x^{*} \in \mathfrak{X}^{*}-\{0\}$ so that $\left.x^{*}\right|_{\mathrm{R}(T-\lambda \mathrm{Id} x)} \equiv 0$. For all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ we have

$$
\left\langle x, T^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle T(x), x^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\lambda x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle x, \lambda x^{*}\right\rangle,
$$

i.e. $\left(T^{*}-\lambda \operatorname{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}^{*}}\right)\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. Moreover, since

$$
(T(x)-\lambda x) \otimes x^{*}=x \otimes\left(T^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)-\lambda x^{*}\right)=0
$$

the projective norm is a cross-norm and $x^{*} \neq 0$ then $T=\lambda \operatorname{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. If $\lambda \in \sigma_{a p}(T)$ we choose a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of unit vectors of $\mathfrak{X}$ so that $T\left(y_{n}\right)-\lambda y_{n} \rightarrow 0$. If $y^{*} \in \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(T\left(y_{n}\right)-\lambda y_{n}\right) \otimes y^{*}\right\|_{\pi} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n} \otimes T^{*}\left(y^{*}\right)-\lambda y^{*}\right\|_{\pi}=\left\|T^{*}\left(y^{*}\right)-\lambda y^{*}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

As above we conclude that $T=\lambda \operatorname{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.
Let us assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ has a bounded shrinking basis $\mathscr{X}=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ whose associated sequence of coefficient functionals is $\mathscr{X}^{*}=\left\{x_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then a basis $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ is induced if we arrange all tensors $x_{n} \otimes x_{m}^{*}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ in a right way. For, if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $(n-1)^{2}<m \leq n^{2}$ we write

$$
\sigma(m)= \begin{cases}\left(m-(n-1)^{2}, n\right) & \text { if } \quad(n-1)^{2}+1 \leq m \leq(n-1)^{2}+n, \\ \left(n, n^{2}-m+1\right) & \text { if } \quad(n-1)^{2}+n \leq m \leq n^{2}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ becomes a bijective function and it suffices to put $z_{n}=x_{\sigma_{1}(n)} \otimes$ $x_{\sigma_{2}(n)}^{*}($ cf. [9], [10]).

Theorem 7. (cf. [3]) If $\delta \in \mathscr{D}\left(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}\right)$ there are unique sequences $\left\{\mathfrak{h}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{\mathfrak{y}_{u}^{v}\right\}_{u, v \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that if $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$
\delta\left(z_{\sigma^{-1}(u, v)}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{h}_{u}-\mathfrak{h}_{v}\right) z_{\sigma^{-1}(u, v)}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{y}_{u}^{n} \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(n, v)}-\mathfrak{y}_{n}^{v} \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(u, n)}\right) .
$$

We say that $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}[\delta]$ and that $\eta=\eta[\delta]$ are the $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{y}$ sequences of $\delta$ respectively. Indeed, $\mathfrak{h}[\boldsymbol{\delta}]=\left\{\left\langle\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(z_{n^{2}}\right), z_{n^{2}}^{*}\right\rangle\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\eta[\delta]=\left\{\left\langle\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(z_{n^{2}}\right), z_{m^{2}}^{*}\right\rangle\right\}_{n, m=1}^{\infty}$.An $\mathscr{X}$-Hadamard bounded derivation on $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}$ is any derivation with null $\eta$ sequence. In [3] it is proved that they constitute a complementary Banach subspace of $\mathscr{D}\left(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^{*}\right)$.

Problem 8. Characterize the class of Hadamard derivations intrinsically or independently of any basis.

Problem 9. What is the relation between $\mathscr{X}$-Hadamard and $\mathscr{B}$-derivations?- We conjecture that any $\mathscr{X}$-Hadamard derivation is realized as a $\mathscr{B}$-derivation by a multiplier operator of both $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{X}^{*}$ relative to the basis $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{X}^{*}$ respectively. As a consequence of Lemma 6 the corresponding supporting operator must be unique up to a constant multiple of $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

## REFERENCES

[1] A. L. Barrenechea \& C. C. Peña: Some remarks about bounded derivations on the Hilbert algebra of square summable matrices. Matematicki Vesnik, 57, No. 4, 78-95, (2005).
[2] A. L. Barrenechea \& C. C. Peña: On innerness of derivations on $S(H)$. Lobachevskii J. of Math., Vol. 18, 21-32, (2005).
[3] A. L. Barrenechea \& C. C. Peña: On the structure of derivations on certain non-amenable nuclear Banach algebras. Preprint, (2007).
[4] B. E. Johnson: Norms of derivations on $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{X})$. Pac. J. Math. 38, 465-469, (1971).
[5] B. E. Johnson: Cohomology in Banach algebras. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 127, (1972).
[6] I. Kaplansky: Modules over operator algebras. Amer. J. Math., 75, 839-853, (1953).
[7] J. Kyle: Norms of derivations. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 16, 297-312, (1977).
[8] V. Runde: Lectures on amenability. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, N. Y., (2002).
[9] R. Schatten: A theory of cross spaces. Ann. of Math. Studies 26. Princeton University Press, (1950)
[10] I. Singer: Bases in Banach spaces I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-N. Y., (1970).
[11] J. G. Stampfli: The norm of a derivation. Pac. J. Math., 33, 737-747, (1970).
uncpba. Departamento de Matemáticas-NUCOMPA.


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46H20, 46H25.
    Key words and phrases. Bounded shrinking basis, Associated sequence of coefficient functionals, Projective cross norm, Amenable and super-amenable Banach algebras, Multiplier operator.

